

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,574	11/12/2003	Robert E. Dickerson	84277JLT	6653
75	590 09/23/2004		EXAM	INER
Paul A. Leipold			LE, HOA VAN	
Patent Legal St	aff			
Eastman Kodak Company ART UNIT			PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street			1752	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/706,574	DICKERSON ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAU INO DATE CALL	Hoa V. Le	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this come	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the m	nerits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the di						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	ected to. See 37 CFR [.] Action or form PTO-	1.121(d). 152,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12 November 2003. S. Patent and Trademark Office.	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/706,574

Art Unit: 1752

This application is before the examiner for consideration on the merits.

I. Applicants' prior art submission filed on 12 November 2003 has been considered.

II. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-19 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 10/706,010, 10/706,191, 10/706,340, 10/706,655 or 10/712,389. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are dawn to about the same subject matters and embodiments.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented and are very closely related.

III. Related art:

Application/Control Number: 10/706,574

Art Unit: 1752

- (1) Dickerson et al (5,399,470) disclose, teach and suggest a radiographic imaging assembly having two silver bromoiodide radiation sensitive layers on both sides of a thin transparent support and two fluorescent intensifying screens next to the silver bromoiodide radiation sensitive layers but fail to disclose, teach or suggest other requirements of the instant claims.
- (2) Dickerson et al (6,387,586) is cited to show the state of the art of a suggested tabular silver halide grains of more the 10 in its aspect ratio. However, aspect ratio of about 28 is used in the Examples only.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

IV. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa V. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1332.

The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:30 PM on Monday though Thursday and about the same time of most Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526.

Applicants may file a paper by (1) fax with a central facsimile receiving number 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/706,574

Art Unit: 1752

Page 4

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoa V. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

HVL 08 September 2004

HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Hoa Van le